1	JOSEPH E. WILEY (State Bar No. 84154) SUZANNE I. PRICE (State Bar No. 120984)	E-FILED
2	WILEY PRICE & RADULOVICH, LLP 1301 Marina Village Parkway, Suite 310	1/17/2019 5:29 PM
3	Alameda, California 94501 Telephone: (510) 337-2810	FRESNO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
4	Facsimile: (\$10) 337-2811	By: L Richards, Deputy
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF FRESNO	
7	COUNTION PRESIVO	
8	SUPERIOR COUR	Γ OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF FRESNO	
700	COUNTY OF FRESHO	
10		
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF FRESNO, a trial court of the	Case No. 19CECG00210
12	State of California,	COMPLAINT OF SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF FRESNO FOR
13	Plaintiff,	TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF BASED UPON
14	V.	AN UNLAWFUL STRIKE UNDER THE COMMON LAW.
15	SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL	
16	UNION, LOCAL 521, and Does 1 through 100, inclusive,	Date: January 18, 2019 Time: 1:30 p.m.
17	Defendant.	Dept.: 401 (Sisk Courthouse)
18		J
19	Now comes the SUPERIOR COURT	OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF FRESNO
20	Now comes the SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF FRESNO	
21	("Court" or "Plaintiff") and alleges as follows:	
22	1. The Court is a trial court of the State of California and an employer under the	
23	Trial Court Employment Protection and Governance Act, codified as California Government	
24	Code sections 71600, et. seq. The Court employs approximately four hundred and twenty-three	
25	(423) employees (excluding judges and commissioners).	
26	2. Defendant Service Employees International Union, Local 521 ("Union" or	
27	"Defendant") is the exclusive labor representative of Court employees in two Bargaining Units	
28	with the following compositions: <u>Unit 6</u> : Court Office Assistant I, II and III, Court Account	
28	1	
Wiley Price & Radulovich, LLP	Complaint for Temporary Restraining Order and Injunctive Relief	Case No.

Clerk I, II and III, and Judicial Assistant I and II; <u>Unit 15</u>: Court Reporter, Child Recommending Counselors, and Senior Child Recommending Counselors. The are two hundred and eighty-three (283) employees in classifications represented by the Union. Bargaining Unit 15 includes thirty-five (35) certified court reporters.

- 3. The true names and capacities of Does 1 through 100 are unknown to Plaintiff at this time, who therefore sues said Defendants under said fictitious names. At such time as the true names and capacities of said fictitious Doe Defendants are ascertained, Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to reflect such true names and capacities. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of said fictitious Doe Defendants has engaged or will engage in the conduct described below and that at all times mentioned herein was an agent of Defendant.
- 4. The Court and Union entered into *Memoranda of Understanding* ("MOU") governing the terms and conditions of employment of the above-described classifications. The term of these MOUs was September 30, 2016 to September 30, 2018. On or about July 17, 2018, the Court and the Union began negotiations for successor labor agreements. The parties held ten (10) meet and confer sessions, with the last one occurring on October 11, 2018. On November 19, 2018, the Court and the Union held a mediation session, and reached an overall Tentative Agreement on wages, hours, and other terms and conditions of employment. The Court subsequently learned that the Tentative Agreement was not ratified by the Union membership. On January 10, 2019, the Court and the Union attended a second mediation session and were unable to reach agreement on a new contract. At the conclusion of the mediation, the Union gave the Court written notice of its intent to strike "no sooner than January 15, 2019."
- 5. Between January 11 and January 14, 2019, counsel for the Court and counsel for the Union negotiated an agreement under which the Union committed to provide eight (8) Union-represented, certified court reporter employees of the Court to work during any work stoppage or strike occurring on or between January 15, 2019 and February 15, 2019. (This number is reduced by one court reporter for every contractor reporter the Court may secure to work during the strike.) Their services are necessary for the Court to provide essential services

to the public consistent with legal requirements. The Court and the Union executed the Agreement on January 13, 2019.

- 6. On January 15, 2019, the Union commenced a strike against the Court. On January 15 and each work day since, only approximately 45% of the Court's employees in SEIU-represented classifications reported to work.
- 7. However, on January 17, 2019, five (5) of these eight (8) court reporters walked off the job.
- 8. On January 17, 2019, at approximately 3:20 p.m., counsel for the Court, Suzanne Price, called the General Counsel of the California Public Employment Relations Board ("PERB"), Felix De La Torre, and left a voicemail notifying him that the Court would be filing this day, with the Fresno Superior Court, an ex parte application for a TRO against the strike in order that the Court have a sufficient number of court reporters to provide essential services during the strike. Ms. Price's office also served PERB electronically with the Court's TRO papers.
- 9. The Court has forty-three (43) authorized judges and six (6) court commissioners. It operates in the following locations:
- a. <u>Main Courthouse:</u> located at 1100 Van Ness, Fresno, California, houses judges' chambers, twenty-eight (28) courtrooms, and administrative offices, including but not limited to, the Office of the Jury Commissioner, Interpreter Services, Court Reporter Services, and Criminal, Juvenile Dependency, and the ACTION Center, a one-stop center for litigants.
- b. <u>B.F. Sisk Courthouse:</u> located at 1130 O Street, Fresno, California, houses judges' chambers, fifteen (15) courtrooms, and certain non-judicial administrative offices, including, but not limited to, the Civil, Family Law, Family Support and Probate Clerk's Offices.
- c. <u>Juvenile Delinquency Courthouse:</u> located at 3333 East American Avenue, Fresno, California, houses judges' chambers, four (4) courtrooms, and certain non-judicial administrative offices, including but not limited to, the Juvenile Delinquency Clerk's Office.

27

- d. <u>M Street Courthouse:</u> located at 317 Tuolumne Street, Fresno California, houses judges' chambers, five (5) courtrooms, and certain non-judicial administrative offices, including but not limited to, the Traffic Clerk's Office.
- e. <u>North Jail Annex Courts</u>: located at 1225 'M' Street, Fresno, California houses judges' chambers and two (2) courtrooms. One courtroom, department 96, is currently being used to process all felony arraignments in which the defendant is in custody.
- f. <u>Archives Division</u>: located at 1963 "E" Street, Fresno, California, houses certain non-judicial administrative offices including, but not limited to the Clerk's Office and the Court Records Warehouse Facility.
- 10. The Court operates approximately thirty-eight (38) calendars daily in its fifty-two (52) courtrooms Court wide. On a 12-month basis between 2017 and 2018, the Court heard an average of four hundred and ninety-six (496) felony cases per (court) day. In this same time period, the Court heard an average of thirty-one (31) felony arraignments per day. In this same 12-month period, the Court's Juvenile Delinquency/Dependency departments, combined, heard between two hundred and forty-seven (247) and four hundred and ninety (490) cases per (court) day. All of these matters require the presence of a California certified court reporter. In addition, under Lanterman-Petris-Short ("LPS") Act relating to conservatorships for the treatment, supervision and placement of a gravely disabled person, when an LPS conservatee demands a trial on the issue of grave disability, Welfare and Institutions Code, section 5350 requires that the trial commence within ten (10) days of the date of the demand. The Court has between 5 20 LPS hearings per week, and between 4 5 LPS trials per week. Court reporters are required for these proceedings.
- 11. For the weeks of January 14 and January 22, 2019, the Court has calendared, or expects proceedings on, the essential matters set forth in Exhibit D to the Declaration of Sheran Morton, filed herewith. These are matters that must be heard in these weeks to prevent imminent

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The 12-month basis was determined based on cases heard between August 1, 2017 and August 1, 2018.

harm to public health and safety. All of these matters require a California certified court reporter. By law, electronic recording is not permitted for these proceedings.

Matters will be consolidated to limit the number of court reporters required for these essential functions. Specifically:

- a. One Judge will be hearing and triaging felony in-custody arraignments at the North Annex Jail. This Judge will need one (1) court reporter to be assigned to the North Annex Jail to cover these matters;
- b. Two Judges will hear at the Main Courthouse cases in which the defendant is on bond, time-not-waived arraignments, and all other felony cases where there is no stipulation to proceed without a court reporter. The Court will require one (1) court reporter to be assigned to the Main Courthouse to cover these matters;
- c. The Court has two (2) felony trials that are ongoing and require two (2) court reporters to cover these trials at the Main Courthouse;
- d. The Court will need to hear juvenile delinquency and juvenile dependency cases in compliance with the statutory deadlines, as well as mental health capacity and LPS hearings and trials in compliance with statutory deadlines. The Court will require two (2) court reporters to be assigned to the Juvenile Delinquency Courthouse and the Main Courthouse, as needed throughout the day, to cover these essential matters;
- e. The Court will also need to hear civil law family matters that would otherwise pose a threat to public health and safety, such as domestic violence and termination of parental rights matters. There are five (5) family law departments. The Court will need one (1) court reporter to be assigned to such matters, who will circulate as needed among these departments located at the B.F. Sisk Courthouse; and,
- f. Finally, the Court is required to hear felony arraignments and preliminary hearings in felony criminal domestic violence matters. Two Judges will hear these matters the weeks of January 14 and January 22 at the Main Courthouse and will require one (1) court reporter to cover these matters at the Main Courthouse.

- g. The Court requires flexibility to reassign these eight (8) reporters between and among the above designated locations in order to be able to provide essential services to the public.
- 12. The Court cannot timely administer justice in certain criminal and civil matters without a minimum number of court reporters. The Court has contacted numerous court reporter agencies and independent contractor court reporters to secure California certified court reporters for work during the strike and has not been able to secure contractor reporters to work during the strike.
- 13. The Court must follow the laws establishing deadlines for taking judicial action in certain matters, including, but not limited to, Penal Code sections 825, 859b, 1049.5, 1050, and 1382 and Welfare and Institutions Code sections 315, 632, 637, 657, and 5334. If deadlines are not met, the consequences may include dismissal of criminal complaints resulting in the release of those the County has charged as committing crimes punishable under the Penal Code.
- 14. The Court also resolves issues concerning child placement in cases of alleged child abuse and other custody matters. The failure to provide these services could result in the failure to timely process these matters, thereby jeopardizing the health and safety of the children at issue.
- 15. The Court resolves placement and release issues regarding detention of juveniles accused of crimes. The failure to provide these services could result in the continued detention of juveniles, delay in proper placement and treatment, as well as release of juveniles accused of committing crimes.
- 16. The Court resolves disputes about the incarceration of persons accused of crimes, level of bail and whether to release accused persons on their own recognizance. The failure to provide these services could result in the inappropriate release of persons from jail, thus posing a threat to public health and safety.
- 17. In light of applicable statutes of limitations, if complaints cannot be timely filed, individuals may be denied legal recourse to resolve disputes.

- 18. The Court must hold hearings on mental health competency matters. A person certified to be held involuntarily in a mental health facility beyond seventy-two (72) hours may petition the Court to be released. The law requires the Court to schedule a capacity hearing within twenty-four (24) hours of the filing of a petition. Welfare and Institutions Code, section 5334. As a result of the strike, the Court cannot meet the timelines for competency proceedings. These hearings must be expedited to ensure the health and safety of persons with mental health problems, and to ensure the public health and safety.
- 19. The Court must hold hearings relating to conservatorships under the Lanterman-Petris-Short ("LPS") Act. When an LPS conservatee demands a trial on the issue of grave disability, a trial must commence within ten (10) days of the date of the demand. Welfare and Institutions Code, Section 5350. The Court has between 5-20 LPS hearings per week and between 4-5 LPS trials per week. Court reporters are required for these proceedings. As a result of the strike, the Court cannot meet the timelines for LPS trials. These trials must be expedited to ensure the health and safety of persons with grave disabilities, and to ensure the public health and safety.
- The Court must also hold hearings relating to domestic violence, contempt, and 20. termination of parental rights. These matters cannot be decided within statutorily mandated timelines without court reporters.
- 21. The strike against the Court is unlawful under the common law because it hinders, delays, and interferes with the Court's duties and obligations to administer justice and to provide essential services. This work stoppage will result in the shut down of Court operations; cause irreparable and serious injury, damage and expense to the Court and to the public at large; pose an imminent threat to public health and safety; and will continue to pose such threat unless restrained and enjoined immediately by order of this Reviewing Court.

26

27

1 22. The Court has no adequate remedy at law for said injury, damage and expense and there is no way by which the Court can rectify the harm done and the injury, damage and 2 3 expense caused, unless and until Defendant's conduct is enjoined and restrained by order of this 4 Reviewing Court. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant, as follows: 5 1. That this Reviewing Court grant, ex parte, a Temporary Restraining Order against 6 7 Defendant, its agents, servants, members, and representatives enjoining their strike or other concerted activity, or enjoining Defendant from withholding labor from the Court, to the extent 8 9 that it poses imminent harm to public health and safety; 10 2. That this Reviewing Court issue an Order to Show Cause to Defendant, directing it to appear before the Reviewing Court to show cause why it should not be preliminarily and, 11 12 thereafter, permanently enjoined from strike activity to the extent that it poses imminent harm to 13 public health and safety; That the Court recover its costs of suit and attorneys' fees incurred herein; and 3. 14 4. That this Reviewing Court grant such other and further relief as it deems just and 15 16 proper. 17 WILEY PRICE & RADULOVICH, LLP Date: January 17, 2019 18 19 20 SUZANNE I. PRICE 21 Attorneys for Plaintiff SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA. 22 COUNTY OF FRESNO 23 24 25 26 27 28 8